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## History

The followings have same cardinality.

- $T_{n+1}=$ trees with $n+1$ vertices
- $F_{n}=$ forests with $n$ vertices
- $P F_{n}=$ parking functions with length $n$
- $\mathcal{S}_{n}=$ Shi arrangements of length $n$
- $\mathcal{F}_{(n+1)}=$ cycle factorizations of length $n+1$
- $Q_{n}=$ allowable pairs of length $n$

We knew that the number of these are all $(n+1)^{n-1}$. Actually, we tried finding the bijection among them and, of course, there are many various bijections that we found.
But we need a new bijection for the next problem.

## History

The followings have same cardinality.

- $T_{n+1}=$ trees with $n+1$ vertices
- $F_{n}=$ forests with $n$ vertices
- $P F_{n}=$ parking functions with length $n$
- $\mathcal{S}_{n}=$ Shi arrangements of length $n$
- $\mathcal{F}_{(n+1)}=$ cycle factorizations of length $n+1$
- $Q_{n}=$ allowable pairs of length $n$

We knew that the number of these are all $(n+1)^{n-1}$. Actually, we tried finding the bijection among them and, of course, there are many various bijections that we found.
But we need a new bijection for the next problem.

## Question

R. Stanley wrote the following open problem in his lecture note 'Hyperplane Arrangement'

## Exercise

6.4 Find a bijection proof of Theorem 6.22, i.e., find a bijection $\varphi$ between the set of all rooted forests on $[n]$ and the set $P F_{n}$ of all parking functions of length $n$ satisfying

$$
\operatorname{inv}(F)=\binom{n+1}{2}-a_{1}-\cdots-a_{n}
$$

when $\varphi(F)=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$.
NOTE. In principle a bijection $\varphi$ can be obtained by carefully analyzing the proof of
Theorem 6.22. However, this bijection will be of a messy recursive nature. $A$ "nonrecursive" bijection would be greatly preferred.

## Answer

- G.Kreweras connected recursively inversion enumerators for tree, $I_{n}(t)$, with parking functions (1980).

$$
I_{n}(t)=\sum_{T \in T_{n+1}} t^{\operatorname{inv}(T)}
$$

where tree with ( $n+1$ ) vertices and root ' 0 '.

- The recursive proof is also written in R.Stanley's lecture note (2004).
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## Objective

We'll find the answer of the previous question.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi: F_{n} & \rightarrow P F_{n} \\
F & \hookrightarrow P=\varphi(F) \\
\operatorname{inv}(F) & =\operatorname{jump}(P)=\binom{n+1}{2}-|P| \\
\operatorname{lead}(F) & =\operatorname{lucky}(P)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\sum_{F \in F_{n}} q^{\operatorname{inv}(F)} u^{\operatorname{lead}(F)}=\sum_{P \in P F_{n}} q^{\text {jump }(P)} u^{\operatorname{lucky}(P)}
$$
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\operatorname{lead}(F) & =\operatorname{lucky}(P)
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\sum_{F \in F_{n}} q^{\operatorname{inv}(F)} u^{\operatorname{lead}(F)}=\sum_{P \in P F_{n}} q^{\mathrm{jump}(P)} u^{\operatorname{lucky}(P)}
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## Tree I

## Definition (Tree)

- Tree = simple graph, connected, no cycles
- Labeled Tree $=$ tree, vertices are labeled
- Rooted Tree = tree, only one vertex is chosen as root.

Note. If we need to deal with a root in an unrooted labeled tree, then we consider the maximum vertex as a root, that is, an unrooted labeled tree is assumed as a rooted labeled tree with the root.

## Tree II

Example.


Note.
(1) From now on, 'unrooted labeled tree' is called shortly 'tree'.
(2) When we draw a 'rooted labeled tree', usually draw the root at the top.

## Tree III

## Definition

$T_{n}=$ the set of unrooted labeled trees with $n$ vertices
Theorem (Cayley formula)

$$
\left|T_{n}\right|=n^{n-2}
$$

Proof. This is proved by Prüfer Algorithm or Prüfer Code.

## Forest I

## Definition (Forest)

Forest $=$ simple graph, no cycles (not necessarily connected)
Note. Forest is consisting of trees. Because each connected component is tree.

## Definition

- Labeled Forest = forest, consisting of labeled trees
- Rooted Forest = forest, consisting of rooted trees


## Forest II

## Definition

$F_{n}=$ the set of rooted labeled forests on $n$ vertices
Theorem

$$
\left|F_{n}\right|=(n+1)^{n-1}
$$

Proof. It's clear. Because we get the rooted forest naturally from the unrooted tree by deleting the maximum vertex. In this way, the number of vertices is decreasing by 1 . Then

$$
\left|T_{n+1}\right|=\left|F_{n}\right| .
$$

## Forest III

Note.
(1) From now on, 'rooted labeled forest' is called shortly 'forest'.
(2) We can change a tree to the forest by deleting the maximum vertex in the tree.

## Example



Unrooted tree $\longrightarrow$ Rooted forest

## Inversion in tree

## Definition

- Inversion in tree $=$ ordered pair $(i, j)$ s.t. $i>j$ and $j$ is a descendant of $i$
- $\operatorname{inv}(T)=$ the \# of inversions in $T$
- $\operatorname{inv}(T: v)=$ the \# of inversions in $T$ of form $(v, x)$ where $v>x$ and $x$ is a descendant of $v$

Remark. $\operatorname{inv}(T)=\sum_{v} \operatorname{inv}(T: v)$.
Note. $j$ is a descendant of $i$
$=i$ lies on the unique path from the root to $j$

## Inversion in forest

## Definition

- Inversion in forest = ordered pair $(i, j)$ s.t. $i>j$ and $j$ is a descendant of $i$
- $\operatorname{inv}(F)=$ the \# of inversions in $F$
- $\operatorname{inv}(F: v)=$ the \# of inversions in $F$ of form $(v, x)$ where $v>x$ and $x$ is a descendant of $v$

REMARK. $\operatorname{inv}(F)=\sum_{v} \operatorname{inv}(F: v)$.
Note. This is well-defined since a forest is consisting of trees.

## Leader in tree and forest

## Definition

- Leader in tree $T=$ the vertex $v$ where $\operatorname{inv}(T: v)=0$
$=$ the smallest vertex among its all descendants.
- lead $(T)=$ the \# of all leaders in $T$

Note. By definition, all leaf is leader.

## Definition

- Leader in forest $F=$ the vertex $v$ where $\operatorname{inv}(F: v)=0$
$=$ the smallest vertex among its all descendants.
- lead $(F)=$ the \# of all leaders in $F$


## Example

## Look at this rooted tree $T$ with root 4.



- $(4,3),(4,1),(4,3)$ are inversions of $T$
- All vertices are leaders of tree $T$ except 4 .
- $\operatorname{inv}(T)=3$ and $\operatorname{lead}(T)=5$
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## Example

Look at this rooted tree $T$ with root 4.


- $(4,3),(4,1),(4,3)$ are inversions of $T$
- All vertices are leaders of tree $T$ except 4.
- $\operatorname{inv}(T)=3$ and lead $(T)=5$


## Example

Look at this rooted tree $T$ with root 4.


- $(4,3),(4,1),(4,3)$ are inversions of $T$
- All vertices are leaders of tree $T$ except 4 .
- $\operatorname{inv}(T)=3$ and lead $(T)=5$


## Remark

In [6, Seo \& S], we proved combinatorially by RP-code

$$
\sum_{T \in T_{n}} u^{\operatorname{lead}(T)} c^{\operatorname{deg}_{T}(1)}=u P_{n-1}(1, u, c u)
$$

where $P_{n}(a, b, c)=c \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(i a+(n-i) b+c)$. Also, we know that each number of leaders and vertices is decreased by 1 when unrooted tree is changed to a forest by deleting the minimum vertex ' 1 '. Hence we deduce that

$$
\sum_{F \in F_{n}} u^{\operatorname{lead}(F)} c^{\operatorname{tree}(F)}=P_{n}(1, u, c u)
$$

where tree means the number of trees in a forest.

## Prüfer order I

- We think about the order of the vertices in trees and forests.
- In making Prüfer Code from a tree, we remove the smallest leaves. In this time, we naturally get the order of deleting vertices. The first vertex is the smallest leaf and the last vertex is the maximum vertex (root) in unrooted tree. We will call it 'Prüfer order'.
- RP-order means 'Reverse Prüfer order', that is, really the reverse order of Prüfer order. Trivially, the first in RP-order is the maximum vertex (root) in unrooted tree.


## Prüfer order II

We know RP-order of vertex in tree by traveling toward to the largest unvisiting vertex from maximum vertex (root) in an unrooted tree (or the root in rooted tree).


Prüfer order : $1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 6$
RP-order : $\quad 6 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1$

## The method by drawing tree

- We want to fix the shape of tree in one way.
- Draw the root at the top. We consider the maximum as the root in an unrooted tree.
- If we draw children, put children from earliest to latest RP-order among sibling from left to right.
- It seems that the shape of tree is figured as a rooted ordered tree after drawing.
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## Parking Algorithm I

(1) There are infinitely many parking spaces whose entrance is at the left.

(2) This parking space is an one-way road from left to right and do not allow that car is going back.
(3) Each car has its favorite parking space.
(4) Two or more cars cannot be parked at one parking space.
(5) Cars can be parked one by one from the first car to last car.
(6) When a car is parked, a car drives at its parking space. And then, attempt to be parked at its favorite parking space. If the space is empty, the car is parked. Otherwise, attempt again at the next parking space. Repeat this process until the parks.

## Parking Algorithm II

Given a sequence ( $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}$ ) of length $n$, where $p_{i}$ means the favorite parking space of the $i$-th car, we will park $n$ cars into parking spaces by previous rules. This method is called a Parking Algorithm and the notation PA is used as the function name.
Example. Given a sequence (4,3,3,1,5), 5 cars are parked by the Parking Algorithm as following.


We get a infinite sequence

$$
P A(4,3,3,1,5)=(4, \emptyset, 2,1,3,5, \emptyset, \emptyset, \ldots)
$$

## Parking Function I

## Definition (Parking Function)

- If every car can be parked at one of first $n$ parking spaces, the sequence $P=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$ used in Parking Algorithm is called a parking function.
- $P F_{n}=$ the set of parking functions with length $n$


## Theorem

$$
\left|P F_{n}\right|=(n+1)^{n-1}
$$

## Parking Function II

## Another Definition

Given a sequence $P=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$, the followings are equivalent.
(1) $P$ is a parking function.
(2) $P A(P)$ can be considered as a permutation of length $n$.
(3) For all $k \leqslant n$, \#\{i| $\left.p_{i} \leqslant k\right\} \geqslant k$.
(4) If $p_{1}^{\prime} \leqslant p_{2}^{\prime} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant p_{n}^{\prime}$ is the rearrangement of $P$, then $p_{k}^{\prime} \leqslant k$ for all $k$.

## Jump in parking function

## Definition

- Jump in parking function = the attempt to park the next space because of a non-empty parking space
- jump $(P: c)=$ the \# of the jumps only to park car $c$

$$
=Q_{c}-P_{c} \text { where } Q=P A(P)^{-1}
$$

- jump $(P)=$ the \# of the jumps to park all cars

$$
=\sum_{c} \operatorname{jump}(P: c)
$$

Note.

$$
\operatorname{jump}(P)=\sum_{c} \operatorname{jump}(P: c)=\sum_{c} Q_{c}-P_{c}=\binom{n+1}{2}-|P|
$$

## Lucky in parking function

## Definition

- Lucky car in parking function $P$
$=$ the car $c$ where $\operatorname{jump}(P: c)=0$
$=$ the car which is parked at its favorite parking space
- lucky $(P)=$ the \# of all lucky cars in $P$


## Example

Let $P=(2)(4)(2)(1)(3)$ be a parking function. After parking,

$$
P A(P)=41325
$$



- $\operatorname{jump}(P: 3)=1$ and $\operatorname{jump}(P: 5)=2$
- Lucky cars are 1, 2 and 4.
- $\operatorname{lucky}(P)=3$ and jump $(P)=3$


## Example

Let $P=(2)(4)(2)(1)(3)$ be a parking function. After parking,

$$
P A(P)=41325
$$

| $Q_{c}$ | (1) | (2) | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c$ | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| $P_{c}$ | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(2)$ | 4 | $(3)$ |
| $Q_{c}-P_{c}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |

- $\operatorname{jump}(P: 3)=1$ and $\operatorname{jump}(P: 5)=2$
- Lucky cars are 1, 2 and 4.
- $\operatorname{lucky}(P)=3$ and jump $(P)=3$


## Example

Let $P=(2)(4)(2)(1)(3)$ be a parking function. After parking,

$$
P A(P)=41325
$$

| $Q_{c}$ | (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c | 41 | 32 | 5 |
| $P_{c}$ | (1) (2) (2) (4) (3) |  |  |
| $Q_{c}-P_{c}$ | 0 | 10 | 2 |

- $\operatorname{jump}(P: 3)=1$ and $\operatorname{jump}(P: 5)=2$
- Lucky cars are 1, 2 and 4.
- $\operatorname{lucky}(P)=3$ and jump $(P)=3$


## Example

Let $P=$ (2)(4)(2)(1)(3) be a parking function. After parking,

$$
P A(P)=41325
$$

| $Q_{c}$ | (1) | (2) | 3 | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c$ | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| $P_{c}$ | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(2)$ | 4 | $(3)$ |
| $Q_{c}-P_{c}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |

- $\operatorname{jump}(P: 3)=1$ and $\operatorname{jump}(P: 5)=2$
- Lucky cars are 1, 2 and 4.
- lucky $(P)=3$ and jump $(P)=3$


## Example

Let $P=$ (2)(4)(2)(1)(3) be a parking function. After parking,

$$
P A(P)=41325
$$

| $Q_{c}$ | (1) | (2) | 3 | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c$ | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| $P_{c}$ | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(2)$ | 4 | $(3)$ |
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## Example

Let $P=$ (2)(4)(2)(1)(3) be a parking function. After parking,

$$
P A(P)=41325
$$

| $Q_{c}$ | (1) | (2) | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c$ | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| $P_{c}$ | 1 | $(2)$ | $(2)$ | $(4)$ | $(3)$ |
| $Q_{c}-P_{c}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |

- $\operatorname{jump}(P: 3)=1$ and $\operatorname{jump}(P: 5)=2$
- Lucky cars are 1, 2 and 4.
- lucky $(P)=3$ and jump $(P)=3$
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## Diagram of $\varphi$ and $\varphi^{-1}$
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We consider $F \in F_{14}$ for example. Of course, $F$ is drawn in the method we decide.


Add the vertex 15 at the top and change the forest $F$ to the tree $T$.

for all $v \in V$ do
(1) find the maximum label $m$ on descendants of $v$.
(2) label $m$ on $v$.
(3) rearrange the other labels in descendants of $v$ by order-preserving.
end do

for all $v \in V$ do
(1) find the maximum label $m$ on descendants of $v$.
(2) label $m$ on $v$.
(3) rearrange the other labels in descendants of $v$ by order-preserving.
end do


The decreasing tree is made after the process for every vertex. But we cannot remake the original tree $T$ from only tree $D$. So, we need another tree induced from the unused information of $T$.

KAIST


And then, label $\operatorname{inv}(T: v)$ on vertex $v$. In order to distinguish it from other labels, we use the box (or blue color). And then, the trees $D$ and $I$ can produce the original tree $T$.


Label the vertices indexed by post-order which is indicated by circle (or brown color). The tree $C$ is determined by only the underlying graph, that is, its tree structure. This is the reason why we define the method we draw the tree.

$$
D \times(C-I)
$$

The plain labels are induced by $D$.
The circled labels are induced by $C$ subtracted by $I$.

And then, we delete the tree structure and sort by plain \#.

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccc|c}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 \\
\text { (10) } & \text { (2) } & (6) & (5) & (7) & (1) & (1) & (10) & (4) & (1) & (14) & (9) & (11) & (5) & 1
\end{array}
$$

Below the plain label 15, there is always circle label (1). So, we can omit it, and then second row (circle label) becomes a parking function $P$ of length 14.

Because all labels of $C$ are distinct in worst case which means every labels of $I$ is all zero. Note that every permutation is a parking function.

And then, we delete the tree structure and sort by plain \#.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (10) | (2) | (6) | (5) | (7) | (1) | (1) | (10) | (4) | $(1)$ | (14) | (9) | (11) | $(5)$ | 1 |

Below the plain label 15 , there is always circle label (1). So, we can omit it, and then second row (circle label) becomes a parking function $P$ of length 14.

$$
P=\text { (10) (2)(6)(5) (7)(1) (17)(10)(4)(1)(14)(9)(11)(5) }
$$

> Because all labels of $C$ are distinct in worst case which means every labels of $I$ is all zero. Note that every permutation is a parking function.

And then, we delete the tree structure and sort by plain \#.


Below the plain label 15 , there is always circle label (1). So, we can omit it, and then second row (circle label) becomes a parking function $P$ of length 14.

Because all labels of $C$ are distinct in worst case which means every labels of $I$ is all zero. Note that every permutation is a parking function.

## Summary of the map $\varphi$



$$
\mathrm{P}=\begin{array}{cccccccccccccc|c}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 \\
(10) & (2) & (6) & (5) & (7) & (1) & (1) & (16) & (4) & (1) & (14) & \text { (9) } & \text { (11) } & \text { (5) } & (1)
\end{array}
$$

## Inverse Map of $\varphi$

Let $P=$ (10) (2)(6)(5)(7)(1)(17)(10)(4)(1)(14)(9)(11)(5)
After adding the (1) at the end, 15 cars is parked as following by the parking algorithm.

Parking Space


We draw a edge between car $c$ and the closest car on its right which is larger than $c$. If we consider 15 as a root, we can rebuild the tree structure and find trees $C, D$ and $I$.

## Inverse Map of $\varphi$

Let $P=$ (1)(2)(6)(5)(7)(1)(18)(4)(4)(1)(1)(2)(1)(5)
After adding the (1) at the end, 15 cars is parked as following by the parking algorithm.

Parking Space


We draw a edge between car $c$ and the closest car on its right which is larger than $c$. If we consider 15 as a root, we can rebuild the tree structure and find trees $C, D$ and $I$.
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## Relations of statistics

We analyze the map $\varphi$, then we know the followings.

- $\operatorname{inv}(F: v)=\operatorname{jump}\left(\varphi(F): \theta_{F}(v)\right)$
- If $v$ is a root of a tree in $F$, then $\theta_{F}(v)$ is a right-to-left maximum in $P A(\varphi(F))$


## Corollary

If $P=\varphi(F)$, these facts are induced automatically.

- $\operatorname{inv}(F)=\operatorname{jump}(P)$
- lead $(F)=\operatorname{lucky}(P)$
- $\operatorname{tree}(F)=\operatorname{critical}(P)$
where tree $(F)$ is the \# of trees in forest $F$ and $\operatorname{critical}(P)$ is the \# of right-to-left maximums in $P A(P)$.

Note. The car $c$ is called critical if there is no empty parking space on the right of car $c$ after parking car $c$.

## Outline

Introduction

- History
- Objectives

Definitions

- Tree \& Forest
- Parking Function
(3) The Map $\varphi$
- Description
- Statistics
(4) Conclusion
- Results
- Further Study


## Main Result I

$I_{n}=$ homogeneous polynomials of degree $n$

$$
I_{n}(\mathbf{q})=I_{n}\left(q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots\right)=\sum_{F \in F_{n}} q_{0}^{t_{0}(F)} q_{1}^{t_{1}(F)} q_{2}^{t_{2}(F)} \ldots
$$

where $t_{i}(F)=$ the \# of vertices $v$ s.t. $\operatorname{inv}(F: v)=i$, especially, $t_{0}(F)=\operatorname{lead}(F)$ and $\sum_{i} t_{i}(F)=n$. $J_{n}=$ homogeneous polynomials of degree $n$

$$
J_{n}(\mathbf{q})=J_{n}\left(q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots\right)=\sum_{P \in P F_{n}} q_{0}^{s_{0}(F)} q_{1}^{s_{1}(F)} q_{2}^{s_{2}(F)} \ldots
$$

where $s_{i}(F)=$ the \# of cars $c$ s.t. $\operatorname{jump}(P: c)=i$, especially, $s_{0}(P)=\operatorname{lucky}(P)$ and $\sum_{i} s_{i}(F)=n$.

## Main Result II

## Theorem (Main Theorem)

$$
I_{n}(\mathbf{q})=J_{n}(\mathbf{q})
$$

Proof. For $P=\varphi(F)$, there is the correspondence $\theta_{F}$ between all vertices $v$ in the forest $F$ and all cars $c$ in the parking function $P$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{inv}(F: v) & =\operatorname{jump}(P: c) \\
& =\operatorname{jump}\left(\varphi(F): \theta_{F}(v)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Because each labels of tree $I$ means inversions of forest $F$ and jumps of parking function $P$.

## Corollary I

## Corollary

$$
\sum_{F \in F_{n}} q^{\operatorname{inv}(F)} u^{\operatorname{lead}(F)}=\sum_{P \in P F_{n}} q^{\mathrm{jump}(P)} u^{\operatorname{lucky}(P)}
$$

Proof. By theorem, $I_{n}\left(u, q, q^{2}, \ldots\right)=J_{n}\left(u, q, q^{2}, \ldots\right)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{nnv}(F) & =\sum_{i} i \cdot t_{i}(F) \\
\operatorname{lead}(F) & =t_{0}(F) \\
\operatorname{jump}(P) & =\sum_{i} i \cdot s_{i}(P)=\binom{n+1}{2}-|P| \\
\operatorname{lucky}(P) & =s_{0}(P)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Corollary II

Recall that

$$
\sum_{F \in F_{n}} u^{\operatorname{lead}(F)} c^{\operatorname{tree}(F)}=P_{n}(1, u, c u)
$$

By the map $\theta_{F}$, the root of each tree in $F$ corresponds to right-to-left maximums in $P A(P)$. So, we have

$$
\sum_{F \in F_{n}} u^{\operatorname{lead}(F)} c^{\operatorname{tree}(F)}=\sum_{P \in P F_{n}} u^{\operatorname{lucky}(P)} c^{\operatorname{critical}(P)}
$$

## Corollary

$$
\sum_{P \in P F_{n}} c^{\operatorname{critical}(P)} u^{\operatorname{lucky}(P)}=P_{n}(1, u, c u)
$$

## Conclusion

## Theorem (Expansion of main theorem)

$$
I_{n}(\mathbf{q}: c)=J_{n}(\mathbf{q}: c)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{n}(\mathbf{q}: c)=\sum_{F \in F_{n}} q_{0}^{t_{0}(F)} q_{1}^{t_{1}(F)} q_{2}^{t_{2}(F)} \cdots c^{\operatorname{tree}(F)} \\
& J_{n}(\mathbf{q}: c)=\sum_{P \in P F_{n}} q_{0}^{s_{0}(F)} q_{1}^{s_{1}(F)} q_{2}^{s_{2}(F)} \cdots c^{\operatorname{critical}(P)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark. Also, we get

$$
\sum_{F \in F_{n}} q^{\operatorname{inv}(F)} u^{\operatorname{lead}(F)} c^{\operatorname{tree}(F)}=\sum_{P \in P F_{n}} q^{\mathrm{jump}(P)} u^{\operatorname{lucky}(P)} c^{\operatorname{critical}(P)}
$$
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