## LECTURE NOTE : INTRODUCTION TO ERGODIC THEORY II

DONG HAN KIM

## 1. Examples of ergodic transformations

A measure preserving transformation T on  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is called ergodic if there is no invariant set (modulo measure zero) except for  $\emptyset$  or X. Another definition of the ergodicity is there is no invariant function except for constant functions, i.e.,  $f \circ T(x) = f(x)$  (modulo measure zero set) implies that f(x) is constant. We have examples of ergodic transformations:

(1) Irrational rotations on the unit circle: Let  $T : [0, 1) \to [0, 1)$  by  $x \mapsto x + \alpha$  (mod 1), where  $\alpha$  is irrational. The Lebesgue measure *m* preserves *T*.

Suppose that  $f \circ T(x) = f(x)$  and  $f \in L^2(m)$ . Expand f(x) in Fourier series as  $f(x) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n x}$ . Then

$$f \circ T(x) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n(x+\alpha)} = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n\alpha} e^{2\pi i nx}.$$

From  $f \circ T(x) = f(x)$ , we have

$$a_n e^{2\pi i n\alpha} = a_n$$
 for all  $n$ .

Since  $\alpha$  is irrational,  $e^{2\pi i n \alpha}$  cannot be 1 unless n = 0. Therefore  $a_n = 0$  for all  $n \neq 0$ , which implies that f(x) is constant.

(2) 2x map on the unit circle: Let  $T : [0,1) \to [0,1)$  by  $x \mapsto 2x \pmod{1}$ . The Lebesgue measure *m* preserves *T*.

Suppose that  $f \circ T(x) = f(x)$  and  $f \in L^2(m)$ . Expand f(x) in Fourier series as  $f(x) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n x}$ . Then

$$f \circ T(x) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{4\pi i n x}.$$

From  $f \circ T(x) = f(x)$ , we have  $a_n = a_{2n}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , that is

$$a_1 = a_2 = a_4 = a_8 = \dots$$

But since  $||f||_2 = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_n^2 < \infty$ , we have  $a_1 = a_2 = a_4 = a_8 = \cdots = 0$  and  $a_3 = a_6 = a_{12} = \cdots = 0$  and so on. Therefore  $a_n = 0$  for all  $n \neq 0$ , which implies that f(x) is constant.

Note that a measure preserving transformation T on  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is ergodic if for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ 

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mu(T^{-i}A \cap B) = \mu(A)\mu(B).$$

**Definition 1.** (1) A measure preserving transformation T on  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is called weak-mixing, if for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ 

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\mu(T^{-i}A \cap B) - \mu(A)\mu(B)| = 0$$

(2) A measure preserving transformation T on  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is called strong-mixing, if for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ 

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(T^{-n}A \cap B) = \mu(A)\mu(B).$$

Clearly, strong-mixing implies weak-mixing and weak-mixing implies ergodicity.

- (1) Irrational rotations on the unit circle is not weak-mixing: Let T be the rotation by  $\alpha$  and A, B be small intervals. Then there are many n's (more than half if A and B are small enough) such that  $T^{-n}A \cap B = \emptyset$ . For the such n's we have  $|\mu(T^{-n}A \cap B) \mu(A)\mu(B)| = \mu(A)\mu(B)$  and  $\frac{1}{n}|\mu(T^{-n}A \cap B) \mu(A)\mu(B)| = \mu(A)\mu(B)$  and  $\frac{1}{n}|\mu(T^{-n}A \cap B) \mu(A)\mu(B)|$  cannot converge to 0.
- (2) 2x map on the unit circle is strong-mixing: Let  $T: x \mapsto 2x \pmod{1}$  and B be an interval. Then for any A we have

$$\mu(T^{-n}A\cap B)\approx \frac{\text{number of }2^{-n}\text{ subintervals which intersect in }B}{2^n}\mu(A).$$

Precisely, we have

$$\frac{2^n \mu(B) - 2}{2^n} \mu(A) \le \mu(T^{-n}A \cap B) \le \frac{2^n \mu(B) + 2}{2^n} \mu(A).$$

Thus we have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(T^{-n}A \cap B) = \mu(A)\mu(B)$ .

Let X be a compact metric space and  $T: X \to X$  be continuous. Let M(X,T) be a set of T-invariant Borel probability measure.

## **Theorem 1.** (i) M(X,T) is not empty.

- (ii) M(X,T) is convex.
- (iii)  $\mu$  is extreme point if and only if  $\mu$  is ergodic measure.
- (iv)  $\mu, \nu \in M(X,T)$  are ergodic, then  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are mutually singular.

*Proof.* (i) Pick an  $x \in X$ . Denote  $\delta_x$  by the Dirac delta measure, i.e.,  $\delta_x(E) = 1$  if  $x \in E$  and 0 if  $x \notin E$ . Then the sequence measure  $\frac{1}{n}(\delta_x + \delta_{Tx} + \dots + \delta_{T^{n-1}x})$  has convergent subsequence to an invariant measure in weak \*-topology. See Ahn's lecture note for the detail.

(ii) If  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are invariant measures, then  $p\mu + (1-p)\nu$  (0 ) is also an invariant measure.

(iv)  $\mu \perp \nu$  means that  $X = E \cup F$ ,  $E \cap F =$  and  $\mu(E) = 1$ ,  $\nu(F) = 1$ . Consider the Birkhoff average  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(T(x))$ . If we choose x is the "support" of  $\mu$  then  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(T(x))$  goes to  $\int f d\mu$ . If x belongs to the "support" of  $\nu$  then  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(T(x))$  converges to  $\int f d\nu$ .

There are many invariant measure for the map  $T: x \mapsto 2x \pmod{1}$  besides the Lebesgue measure. One trivial invariant measure is  $\delta_0$  since 0 is a fixed point of T. Another invariant measure is (p, 1-p)-Bernoulli measure  $\mu_p$  (0 , which $is obtained by <math>\mu_p[0, \frac{1}{2}) = p$ ,  $\mu_p[\frac{1}{2}, 1) = 1 - p$ ,  $\mu_p[0, \frac{1}{4}) = p^2$ ,  $\mu_p[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}) = p(1-p)$  $\mu_p[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}) = (1-p)p, \mu_p[\frac{3}{4}, 1) = (1-p)^2$ , and so on. Choose x as a "typical" point of  $\mu_p$  then in x's binary expansion 0 appears in probability p and 1 appears in probability 1-p.

If there is only one measure in M(X,T) then T is said to be uniquely ergodic. Let T be uniquely ergodic with the ergodic measure  $\mu$ . Then the Birkhoff average  $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(T(x))$  converges to  $\int f d\mu$  for every  $x \in X$ .

T is said to be minimal, if every orbit of T is dense. If T is uniquely ergodic, then T is minimal.

An interval exchange map is a piecewise isometry bijection on the unit interval.

- If the length of subintervals are rationally independent and the permutation is irreducible, then the it is minimal (Keans's condition)
- Not every minimal interval exchange is uniquely ergodic.
- Almost every interval exchange is uniquely ergodic (Veech, Masur).
- Interval exchange is never strong-mixing.
- Almost every interval exchange is weak-mixing (Avila-Forni).

Let  $U_T$  be the unitary operator defined by  $U_T(f) = f \circ T$ . We call  $\lambda$  an eigenvalue of  $U_T$  if  $U_T(f) = f \circ T = \lambda f$  for some f.

Equivalent conditions for the weak mixing property.

- (1)  $T \times T$  is ergodic.
- (2)  $T \times T$  is weak-mixing.
- (3) 1 is the only eigenvalue and T is ergodic.

Note that if T is the irrational rotation by  $\alpha$  then  $T \times T : [0, 1) \times [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, 1) \times [0, 1)$  acts as  $(x, y) \mapsto (x + \alpha, y + \alpha)$ . Then the diagonal "strip"  $\{(x, y) : |x - y| < \delta\}$  is invariant and  $T \times T$  is not ergodic.

If we choose  $f(x) = e^{2\pi i n x}$  then we have

$$f(T(x)) = e^{2\pi i n(x+\alpha)} = e^{2\pi i n\alpha} f(x)$$

so  $e^{2\pi i n \alpha}$  is an eigenvalue which is different from 1.

**Theorem 2** (Poincaré's Recurrence Theorem). Let T be a measure preserving transformation on  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ . If  $\mu(E) > 0$ , then for almost every  $x \in E$  is recurrent to E.

*Proof.* Let F be the subset of E which is not recurrent to E. Then we have

$$F = E \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T^{-n} E$$
$$= E \cap T^{-1}(X \setminus E) \cap T^{-2}(X \setminus E) \cap \dots$$

Therefore,  $F \cap T^{-n}F = \emptyset$  for all n. Since  $\mu(X) \ge \mu(F) + \mu(T^{-1}F) + \cdots = \mu(F) + \mu(F) + \ldots$ , we have  $\mu(F) = 0$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3** (Furstenberg's Szemerédi theorem). Let  $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_{\ell}$  be commuting measure preserving transformations on  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ . For any  $E \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\mu(E) > 0$ , we can choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\mu(E \cap T_1^{-n}E \cap \dots T_\ell^{-n}E) > 0.$$

Note that if  $\ell = 1$ , then the proof is directly obtained by the Poincaré recurrence theorem. For a transformation T, choose  $T_1 = T, T_2 = T^2, \ldots$ , and  $T_{\ell} = T^{\ell}$ . Then the set of points x such that  $x \in E, T^n x \in E, T^{2n} x \in E, \ldots, T^{\ell n} x \in E$  has positive measure.

By the Poincaré recurrence theorem we can define the recurrence time to a set E with  $\mu(E) > 0$ .

**Definition 2.** Define the recurrence time  $E_E$  to E by

$$R_E(x) = \min\{j \ge 1 : T^j(x) \in E\}.$$

Define the induced map  $T_E$  by

$$T_E(x) = T^{R_E(x)}(x).$$

Then is is not difficult to show that for  $\mu(E) > 0$  (i) If T preserve  $\mu_E$ , then  $T_E$  preserve  $\mu_E$  (ii) If T is ergodic, then  $T_E$  is ergodic. Here  $\mu_E$  is the induced measure defined by  $\mu_E(A) = \mu(A)/\mu(E)$  for  $A \subset E$ .

**Theorem 4** (Kac's Theorem). Let T be a measure preserving transformation on  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  and  $\mu(E) > 0$ . Then we have

$$\int_E R_E(x)d\mu \le 1.$$

If T is ergodic, the equality holds.

*Proof.* We will consider the case that T is ergodic and invertible. Let

$$E_n = \{x \in E : R_E(x) = n\}.$$

Then  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  and

$$X = E_1 \bigcup (E_2 \cup TE_2) \bigcup (E_3 \cup TE_3 \cup T^2E_3) \bigcup \dots$$

Since  $T^i E_n$ 's  $(0 \le i < n)$  are all disjoint,

$$1 = \mu(X) = \mu(E_1) + 2\mu(E_2) + 3\mu(E_3) + \dots$$

Therefore, we have

$$\int_E R_E(x)d\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\mu(E_n) = 1.$$

We have another proof for general ergodic transformation: Let  $N = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} R_E(T_E^{\ell}x)$ . Then N is the time until the orbit of x under T visit E L times, so we have  $\sum_{n=1}^{N} 1_E(T^n x) = L$ . By the Birkhoff ergodic theorem

$$\int_{E} R_E d\mu = \lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} R_E(T_E^{\ell} x)}{L} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{N}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} 1_E(T^n x)} = \frac{1}{\mu(E)}.$$
roof is from [1].)

(The proof is from [1].)

Group extension (Skew-product) Let (Y,T) be a dynamical systems and K be a compact group. If  $\psi: Y \to K$  is continuous, then we can define a new dynamical system called a group extension on  $X = Y \times K$ , by

$$(y,k) \mapsto (Ty,\psi(y)k).$$

For an example, let  $Y = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and T be the left shift map. Let  $K = \mathbb{Z}_n = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ and  $\psi(y) = (-1)^{y_1}, y = y_1 y_2 y_3 \dots$  Then the group extension  $(y, k) \mapsto (Ty, k +$  $\psi(y)$  can be interpreted as a random walker at k in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  jumps to k+1 if  $y_1=0$ and to k-1 if  $y_1 = 1$  and for the next turn the random walker jumps according to  $y_2$ 's value.

## References

[1] G.H. Choe, Computational Ergodic Theory, Springer-Verlag, 2005.